

## AMENDMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Communication dated 28 June 2006 please amend the specification/claims as follows:

In the Specification (other than the claims):

**On page 1, please AMEND the following paragraph immediately following “CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS” as indicated below ALSO AMEND paragraphs 1 – 2 as indicated below:**

The present application is related to, claims the earliest available effective filing date(s) from (e.g., claims earliest available priority dates for other than provisional patent applications; claims benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for provisional patent applications), and incorporates by reference in its entirety all subject matter of the following listed application(s) (the “Related Applications”) to the extent such subject matter is not inconsistent herewith; the present application also claims the earliest available effective filing date(s) from, and also incorporates by reference in its entirety all subject matter of any and all parent, grandparent, great-grandparent, etc. applications of the ~~following listed applications:~~ Related Application(s) to the extent such subject matter is not inconsistent herewith. The United States Patent Office (USPTO) has published a notice to the effect that the USPTO’s computer programs require that patent applicants reference both a serial number and indicate whether an application is a continuation or continuation in part. Stephen G. Kunin, *Benefit of Prior-Filed Application*, USPTO Electronic Official Gazette, March 18, 2003 at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2003/week11/patbene.htm>. The present applicant entity has provided below a specific reference to the application(s) *from which priority is being claimed* as recited by statute. Applicant entity understands that the statute is unambiguous in its specific reference language and does not require either a serial number or any characterization such as “continuation” or “continuation-in-part.” Notwithstanding the foregoing, applicant entity understands that the USPTO’s computer programs have certain data entry requirements, and

hence applicant entity is designating the present application as a continuation in part of its parent applications, but expressly points out that such designations are not to be construed in any way as any type of commentary and/or admission as to whether or not the present application contains any new matter in addition to the matter of its parent application(s).

**Related Applications:**

1. For purposes of the USPTO extra-statutory requirements, the present application constitutes a continuation in part of United States patent application entitled LENS DEFECT CORRECTION, U.S. Application No. 10/738,626, naming William D. Hillis, Nathan P. Myhrvold, and Lowell L. Wood Jr. as inventors, filed 16 December 2003 ~~by express mail~~ which is currently co-pending, or is an application of which a currently co-pending application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date.
  
2. For purposes of the USPTO extra-statutory requirements, the present application constitutes a continuation in part of United States patent application entitled IMAGE CORRECTION USING INDIVIDUAL MANIPULATION OF MICROLENSES IN A MICROLENS ARRAY, naming William D. Hillis, Nathan P. Myhrvold, and Lowell L. Wood Jr. as inventors, filed ~~substantially contemporaneously herewith by express mail~~ 21 January 2004 which is currently co-pending, or is an application of which a currently co-pending application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date.